REMARKS

Claims 9 and 11 are pending in this application. By this Supplemental Amendment, claim 9 is amended, claims 1, 6 and 10 are canceled, and claim 11 is added. No new matter is added.

As discussed in the October 31, 2007 Amendment, the Office Action, in paragraph 1, objects to the title as allegedly being non-descriptive. As the title was previously amended to obviate the rejection and Applicant submits that the title is sufficiently descriptive, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested. If, however, the Office Action continues to maintain that the title is non-descriptive, Applicants respectfully request that the Office Action provide further guidance as to what the Office Action would deem sufficiently descriptive.

The Office Action, in paragraph 3, rejects claims 1 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 2,325,329 (Ahan) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0067434 (Haga) and U.S. Patent No. 5,534,809 (Watanabe). The Office Action also rejects claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 7,057,589 (Shin) in view of Watanabe. As claims 1 and 6 are canceled, this rejection is moot.

With respect to claim 9, claim 9 recites, in part, "a first wiring line extending from the input terminal in a same direction as the direction that the data lines extend, and extending to the second end in a direction perpendicular to the direction that the data lines extend; and a second wiring line extending from the first wiring line to the control input terminal in a same direction as the direction that the data lines extend." Shin does not teach or suggest these features. Additionally, none of the applied references remedy the deficiencies of Shin.

Furthermore, the Office Action acknowledges that Shin fails to teach the claimed length, but asserts that it would have been obvious to make the wiring lines the same length and width "so that each signal-supplying line, from the first terminal to the control input

Application No. 10/764,476

terminaly, may be equal in load capacitance." However, such an assertion takes the claim out

of context. Specifically, because the signal-supplying lines have the flexed structure, there is

significance in making the lengths of the signal-supply lines from the input terminal to the

control input terminal the same. Further, by arranging the signal-supply lines as recited in

claim 9, there is an advantageous effect to increase the freedom in the arrangement of the

input terminal and a selecting circuit.

For at least these reasons, withdrawal of the rejection of claim 9 is respectfully

requested. Further, new claim 11 is patentable over the applied art at least for the reasons

discussed above with respect to claim 9.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in

condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the claims are

earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place

this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Oliff

Registration No. 27,075

Ariana E. Guss

Registration No. 58,997

JAO:AEG/jnm

Date: December 11, 2007

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. Box 320850

Alexandria, Virginia 22320-4850

Telephone: (703) 836-6400

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION

Please grant any extension necessary for entry;

Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461